



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/509,511

07/29/2005

Pascal Agin

Q83285

4050

23373 7590 10/28/2008
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

BOKHARI, SYED M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/28/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/509,511	Applicant(s) AGIN ET AL.	
	Examiner SYED BOKHARI	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Note: The Final Rejection was sent on 08/19/2008. The case is being reconsidered in view of the remarks submitted after the final by the applicant on 10/08/2008.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on October 8th, 2008 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8 and 10 have been amended. Claim 11 has been canceled. Claims 12-21 have been added. Claims 1-10 and 12-21 are still pending in this application, with claims 1 and 10 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 3, 6-8, 13 and 16-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 3, the term "consisting" is not supported by the specification.

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 6, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Regarding claim 7, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Regarding claim 8, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Regarding claim 13, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Regarding claim 16, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Regarding claim 17, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Regarding claim 18, the term “consisting” is not supported by the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-2, 4, 9-10, 12, 14, 19 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studle (2002/0006119 A1 in view of Virtanen (2001/0008521 A1).

Steudle discloses a communication system for defining measurement gaps in inter-frequency measurement with the following features: regarding claim 1, compressed mode configuration method in a mobile radio system, the method comprising, choosing a compressed mode configuration from a set of reference compressed mode configuration (Fig. 1, UMTS mobile structure, see "selecting the compressed mode from different several alternatives" recited in paragraph 0013 and lines 1-8 and paragraph 0014 lines 1-4), a compressed mode configuration is defined by compressed mode parameters (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see "compressed mode parameters" recited in paragraph 0043 lines 1-18), the compressed mode parameters including a transmission gap length TGL (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see "transmission gap length (TGL)" recited in paragraph 0043 lines 6-8), a transmission gap pattern length TGPL (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see "transmission gap pattern length (TGPL)" recited in paragraph 0043 lines 9-12), the

compressed mode parameters being determined so that, for each reference configuration (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “parameters signaled to mobile station” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 13-16), then TGL is made sufficiently large so that two transmission gaps to occur at two of the positions (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “larger gap” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 5-13); regarding claim 2, or otherwise a transmission gap pattern comprises a plurality of transmission gaps (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “transmission gap pattern length (TGPL)” recited in paragraph 0043 lines 9-12); regarding claim 9, in which the first system is of the UMTS type (Fig. 1, mobile telephone system, see “Universal mobile telephony system (UMTS)” recited in paragraph 0045 lines 1-6), the second system is of the GSM type (Fig. 2, frame structure, see “measurements between WCDMA and GSM” recited in paragraph 0041 lines 1-9) and a transmission gap pattern comprises two transmission gaps (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “two transmission gaps” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 1-5); regarding claim 10, network equipment for mobile radio system comprising (Fig. 1, mobile telephone system, see “UMTS and GSM” recited in paragraph 0045 lines 1-6) and means for implementing a compressed mode configuration method (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “means for implementation” recited in paragraph 0050 lines 1-8); regarding claim 10, network equipment for mobile radio system comprising, means for choosing a compressed mode configuration from a set of reference compressed mode configuration (Fig. 1, UMTS mobile structure, see “selecting the compressed mode from different several alternatives” recited in paragraph 0013 and lines 1-8 and paragraph 0014 lines 1-4), a

Art Unit: 2416

compressed mode configuration is defined by compressed mode parameters (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “compressed mode parameters” recited in paragraph 0043 lines 1-18), the compressed mode parameters including a transmission gap length TGL (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “transmission gap length (TGL)” recited in paragraph 0043 lines 6-8), a transmission gap pattern length TGPL (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “transmission gap pattern length (TGPL)” recited in paragraph 0043 lines 9-12), the compressed mode parameters being determined so that, for each reference configuration (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “parameters signaled to mobile station” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 13-16), then TGL is made sufficiently large so that two transmission gaps to occur at two of the positions (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “larger gap” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 5-13); regarding claim 12, or otherwise a transmission gap pattern comprises a plurality of transmission gaps (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “transmission gap pattern length (TGPL)” recited in paragraph 0043 lines 9-12); regarding claim 19, in which the first system is of the UMTS type (Fig. 1, mobile telephone system, see “Universal mobile telephony system (UMTS)” recited in paragraph 0045 lines 1-6), the second system is of the GSM type (Fig. 2, frame structure, see “measurements between WCDMA and GSM” recited in paragraph 0041 lines 1-9) and a transmission gap pattern comprises two transmission gaps (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “two transmission gaps” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 1-5); regarding claim 10, network equipment for mobile radio system comprising (Fig. 1, mobile telephone system, see “UMTS and GSM” recited in paragraph 0045 lines

1-6) and means for implementing a compressed mode configuration method (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “means for implementation” recited in paragraph 0050 lines 1-8); regarding claim 20, comprising signaling to a mobile terminal compressed mode parameters corresponding to the chosen compressed mode configuration (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “signaled to the mobile terminal compressed mode” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 13-16) and regarding claim 21, comprising signaling to a mobile terminal compressed mode parameters corresponding to the chosen compressed mode configuration (Fig. 3, generation of measurement gap, see “signaled to the mobile terminal compressed mode” recited in paragraph 0042 lines 13-16).

Steudle does not disclose the following features: regarding claim 1, the transmission gaps being defined in a first transmission time structure specific to a first system, being determined relative to a second transmission time structure specific to a second system, to enable measurements on the second system to be effected in the first system, if the TGPL is such that the transmission gaps occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure, and the closest together, overlap, with an overlap length greater than the time necessary to effect a measurement; regarding claim 2, in which the compressed mode parameters are determined so that, otherwise and for each reference configuration, TGPL is chosen so that the transmission gaps do not occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure; regarding claim 4, in which TGL preferably has the value 14; regarding claim 10, the transmission gaps being defined in a first transmission time structure specific to a first system, being determined

relative to a second transmission time structure specific to a second system, to enable measurements on the second system to be effected in the first system, if the TGPL is such that the transmission gaps occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure, and the closest together, overlap, with an overlap length greater than the time necessary to effect a measurement; regarding claim 12, in which the compressed mode parameters are determined so that, otherwise and for each reference configuration, TGPL is chosen so that the transmission gaps do not occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure and regarding claim 14, in which TGL preferably has the value 14.

Virtinen discloses communication system with a method of preparing a inter-frequency handover with the following features: regarding claim 1, the transmission gaps being defined in a first transmission time structure specific to a first system (Fig. 3, transmission period, see “first frequency transmission gaps” recited in paragraph 0024 lines 1-7), being determined relative to a second transmission time structure specific to a second system (Fig. 4, transmission gap pattern, see “second transmission gap” recited in paragraph 0024 lines 7-9), to enable measurements on the second system to be effected in the first system (Fig. 3, transmission period, see “performing measurements” recited in paragraph 0025 lines 1-3), if the TGPL is such that the transmission gaps occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure (Fig. 2, position of transmission gap, see “similar transmission gap period” recited in paragraph 0009 lines 1-11) and the closest together, overlap, with an overlap length greater than the time necessary to effect a measurement (Fig. 4, transmission period, see

Art Unit: 2416

transmission gap overlapping” recited in paragraph 0055 lines 1-12); regarding claim 2, in which the compressed mode parameters are determined so that, otherwise (Fig. 6, data transmitted in compressed mode, see “step 604” recited in paragraph 0062 lines 1-11 and paragraph 0063 lines 8-9) and for each reference configuration, TGPL is chosen so that the transmission gaps do not occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure (Fig. 4, transmission gap pattern (TGP) and fig. 6, step 605, see “duration of transmission gap period (TGP)” recited in paragraph 0056 lines 1-9 and paragraph 0063 lines 9-13); regarding claim 4, in which TGL preferably has the value 14 (Fig. 2, position of transmission gaps, see “gap of 14 time slots” recited in paragraph 0014 lines 8-11 and table 1 of prior art); regarding claim 10, the transmission gaps being defined in a first transmission time structure specific to a first system (Fig. 3, transmission period, see “first frequency transmission gaps” recited in paragraph 0024 lines 1-7), being determined relative to a second transmission time structure specific to a second system (Fig. 4, transmission gap pattern, see “second transmission gap” recited in paragraph 0024 lines 7-9), to enable measurements on the second system to be effected in the first system (Fig. 3, transmission period, see “performing measurements” recited in paragraph 0025 lines 1-3), if the TGPL is such that the transmission gaps occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure (Fig. 2, position of transmission gap, see “similar transmission gap period” recited in paragraph 0009 lines 1-11) and the closest together, overlap, with an overlap length greater than the time necessary to effect a measurement (Fig. 4, transmission period, see transmission gap overlapping” recited in paragraph 0055 lines 1-12); regarding claim 12, in which the compressed

Art Unit: 2416

mode parameters are determined so that, otherwise (Fig. 6, data transmitted in compressed mode, see “step 604” recited in paragraph 0062 lines 1-11 and paragraph 0063 lines 8-9) and for each reference configuration, TGPL is chosen so that the transmission gaps do not occur periodically at fixed positions in the second structure (Fig. 4, transmission gap pattern (TGP) and fig. 6, step 605, see “duration of transmission gap period (TGP)” recited in paragraph 0056 lines 1-9 and paragraph 0063 lines 9-13) and regarding claim 14, in which TGL preferably has the value 14 (Fig. 2, position of transmission gaps, see “gap of 14 time slots” recited in paragraph 0014 lines 8-11 and table 1 of prior art).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the method of Studle by using the features, as taught by Virtanen in order to provide the function of first transmission time structure and second time structure. The motivation of doing this is to enhance the functionality of transmission/receipt in a cost effective manner.

8. Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Studle (2002/0006119 A1 in view of Virtanen (2001/0008521 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Vayanos et al. (2003/0026235 A1).

Studle and Virtanen describe the claimed limitations as discussed in paragraph 7 above. Studle and Virtanen do not disclose the following features: regarding claim 5, in which the first system is of the UMTS type, the second system is of the GSM type, and

TGPL is chosen so that it is not a multiple of 6 and regarding claim 15, in which the first system is of the UMTS type (Fig. 5, frame format and slot format, see “ UMTS radio access network (UTRAN)” recited in paragraph 0044 lines 1-9), the second system is of the GSM type.

Vayanos et al. discloses the techniques to time-share a common channelization code among multiple terminals for compressed mode transmission with the following features: regarding claim 5, in which the first system is of the UMTS type (Fig. 5, frame format and slot format, see “ UMTS radio access network (UTRAN)” recited in paragraph 0044 lines 1-9), the second system is of the GSM type (Fig. 1, wireless communication system, see “GSM system” recited in paragraph 0064 lines 1-3) and TGPL is chosen so that it is not a multiple of 6 (Fig. 6, compressed mode transmission, see “transmission gap pattern length (TGPL)” recited in paragraph 0064 lines 3-10); regarding claim 15, in which the first system is of the UMTS type (Fig. 5, frame format and slot format, see “ UMTS radio access network (UTRAN)” recited in paragraph 0044 lines 1-9), the second system is of the GSM type (Fig. 1, wireless communication system, see “GSM system” recited in paragraph 0064 lines 1-3) and TGPL is chosen so that it is not a multiple of 6 (Fig. 6, compressed mode transmission, see “transmission gap pattern length (TGPL)” recited in paragraph 0064 lines 3-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the method of Studle with Virtanen by using the features, as taught by Vayanos et al., in order to provide the function of multiple TGPL. The motivation of doing so is to enhance the function to the level of W-CDMA in cost effective manner.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed October 8th, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 3, 6-8, 13, and 16-18 are rejected as per paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SYED BOKHARI whose telephone number is (571)270-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00-17:00 Hrs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang B. Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Syed Bokhari/

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

10/23/2008

/Kwang B. Yao/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416